



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Hanify & King, P.C.  
1875 K Street  
Suite 707  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

**COPY MAILED**

MAR 23 2009

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Es  
Application No. 10/673,322  
Filed: September 30, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. 19111.0124

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed March 10, 2009, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned July 26, 2008 for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action of April 25, 2008. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, a Request for Continued Examination and submission (37 CFR 1.114), or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). No extensions of time pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed January 7, 2009.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and required fee, and the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114; (2) the required petition fee; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." Since the statement appearing in the petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement is being construed as the required statement. Petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct reading of the statement appearing in the petition.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Since the \$1,110.00 extension of time fee submitted with the petition was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2624 for processing of the RCE and for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the amendment submitted in accordance with 37 CFR 1.114.

*/ALEZIA M. BROWN/*

Alesia M. Brown

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions